When he looks out In an ungrateful shape !) He does deny him, in respect of his \ What charitable men afford to beggars.

3 STRAN. Religion groans at it.

1 *STRAN.* For mine own I never tasted Timon in my life, Nor came any of his bounties over me. To mark me for his friend; yet, I protest, For his right noble mind, illustrious virtue, And honourable carriage, Had his necessity made use of me, I would have put my wealth into donation, And the best half should have return'd to him

1 — in respect of his,] i. e, considering Timon's claim for what he asks. WARBURTON.

" In respect of his" *fortune:* what Lucius denies to Timon is in proportion to what Lucius possesses, less than the usual alms given by good men to beggars. JOHNSON,

Does not *his* refer to the *Up of Timon?*—Though Lucius himself drink from a silver cup which was Timon's gift to him, he refuses to Timon^in return, drink from any cup. HENLEY-

[2] I would have put my wealth into DONATION,
And the best half should have RETURNED to him,]

Sir Thomas Hanmer reads:

** I would have put my wealth *into partition,* " And the best half should have *attorn'dto* him,— " Dr. Warburton receives *attorn'd.* The only difficulty is in the word *return'd,* which, since he had reeeiv'd nothing from him> cannot be used but in a very low and licentious meaning. JOHNSON.

* Had his necessity made use of me, I would have put my fortune into a condition to be alienated, and the best half of what I had gained myself, or received from others, should have found its way to him/ Either such licentious exposition must be allowed, or the passage remain in obscurity, as some readers may not choose to receive Sir Thomas Hanmer's emendation.

The following lines, however, in Hamlet, Act II. Sc. II. persuades me that my explanation of—" put my wealth into donation "—is somewhat doubtful:

*' *Put* your dread pleasures- more *into command* " Than to entreaty.'*